UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,343	03/29/2004	David Leon	037145-3101	5004
30542 FOLEY & LAR	7590 07/30/200 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8027		ABRAHAM, ESAW T		
SAN DIEGO, C	A 92138-0278		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/813,343		LEON ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		ESAW T. AE	RAHAM	2112			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication a	ppears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATU WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or	TORY PERIOD FOR REPER, FROM THE MAILING able under the provisions of 37 CFR mailing date of this communication. In above, the maximum statutory periodetended period for reply will, by statulater than three months after the mail See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event od will apply and will e cute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	L. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINA 3) ☐ Since this applicati	nmunication(s) filed on <u>01</u> ■L. 2b) The condition for allow the condition for allow the practice under	nis action is nor vance except fo	r formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above cl 5)	e subject to restriction and objected to by the Examination is/are: a) acquest that any objection to the	rawn from cons llowed. l/or election req ner. ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) be	uirement. objected to by the E	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (If 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Stater Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/18/	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO/SB/08)	_) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/813,343 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' response was received on 04/01/08.

- 2. Claims 1, 9, 15 and 18 are rejected.
- 3. Claims 2-8, 10-14, 16, 19, 21-24 remain allowed.

Response to Applicant's argument/Amendment

4. Applicant's argument, see remark, filed on 04/01/08, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 15-17 and 22 under 101 non-statutory rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made to claims 1, 9, 15 and 18 in view of Wesley et al. (U.S. PN: 6,693,907) "herein after as Wesley"

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S. C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 9, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wesley et al. (U.S. PN: 6,693,907) "herein after as Wesley.

As per claim 1:

Wesley substantially teaches a method for data repair in a point-to-multipoint communications system (see col. 1, lines 7-10 and col. 2, lines 2-8), the method comprising

transmitting data from a sender to a plurality of receivers via a point-to- multipoint session (see col. 2, lines 2-8 and col. 3, lines 41-46); determining if any expected data was not received; if some expected data was not received, sending a data repair request to the sender requesting that the expected-but-not-received data be resent (see col. 4, lines 12-65 and col. 5, lines 44-55); and retransmitting from the sender all of the requested expected-but-not-received data via the point-to-multipoint session (col. 5, lines 44-55 and col. 6, lines 17-34).

As per claim 9:

Wesley substantially teaches a point-to-multipoint communication system for repairing data (see col. 1, lines 7-10 and col. 2, lines 2-8), the system comprising a sender device for transmitting data via point-to-multipoint communications; a plurality of receivers for receiving data from the sender device (see col. 2, lines 2-8); wherein the sender device is configured to transmit data to the plurality of receivers via a point-to-multipoint session (see col. 2, lines 2-8 and col. 3, lines 41-46); the plurality of receivers are configured to receive data transmitted by the sender device, determine if any expected data was not received, and, if so, send a data repair request back to the sender device requesting that the expected-but-not-received data be resent (see col. 4, lines 12-65 and col. 5, lines 44-55); and the sender device is configured to receive data repair requests from the plurality of receivers and to retransmit all of the requested expected-but-not-received data to the plurality of receivers via the point-to-multipoint session (col. 5, lines 44-55 and col. 6, lines 17-34).

As per claim 15:

Wesley substantially teaches a computer code product embodied on a computer readable medium, the computer code product comprising computer code configured to

Application/Control Number: 10/813,343 Page 4

Art Unit: 2112

transmit data from a sender to a plurality of receivers via a point-to-multipoint session (see col. 1, lines 7-10 and col. 2, lines 2-8); determine if expected data was not received at any of the plurality of receivers; make a data repair request if any expected data was not received at any of the plurality of receivers (see col. 4, lines 12-65 and col. 5, lines 44-55); and retransmit all of the requested expected-but-not-received data to the plurality of receivers via the point-to-multipoint session (col. 5, lines 44-55 and col. 6, lines 17-34).

As per claim 18:

Wesley substantially teaches a sender device for use in a point-to-multipoint communication system, the sender device comprising (see col. 1, lines 7-10 and col. 2, lines 2-8); means for transmitting data to a plurality of receivers via a point-to-multipoint session (see col. 1, lines 7-10 and col. 2, lines 2-8); means for receiving data repair requests from the plurality of receivers requesting expected-but-not-received data (see col. 4, lines 12-65 and col. 5, lines 44-55); means for retransmitting all of the requested expected-but-not-received data via a point-to-multipoint session (col. 5, lines 44-55 and col. 6, lines 17-34).

.

Allowable subject matter

6. Claims **17 and 20** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten independent from including all of the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/813,343 Page 5

Art Unit: 2112

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Esaw T. Abraham whose telephone number is (571) 272-3812.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on (571) 272-6962. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/EA/

/Esaw T Abraham/

Examiner, Art Unit 2112

07/22/08